

# Validating IPMRs, CPRs, and IMS Deliverables

August 2013

**NAVY CEVM** 





#### INTRODUCTION

- Earned Value Management integrates contract scope, schedule and budget with the goal of producing performance data that can be used to inform management decision making
- The importance of maintaining high quality EVM performance data can not be overstated. It's critical that each Navy program office validate the quality of the EVM reporting it receives
- The purpose of this training is to recommend some simple checks for validating a basic level of EVM CDRL quality





## Validating CPR & IPMR Formats

- IPMR and CPR Formats
  - Format 1 Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)
  - Format 2 Functional Categories
  - Format 3 Baseline
  - Format 4 Manpower loading
  - Format 5 Problem Analysis
  - Format 6 (IPMR only) Integrated Master Schedule (IMS)
  - Format 7 (IPMR only) Time Phased Cost
- This training segment is intended to be used in addition to guidance in the CEVM Analysis Toolkit (Appendix B)





#### Validating Format 1 (CPR or IPMR)

#### **Header Information**

							CLASSIF	ICATION (When	n Filled In)							
					INTEGRATE'	D PROGRAM	I MANAGEM	ENT REPOR	.Г					PENDING		
					FORMAT 1	1 - WORK BRE	<b>EAKDOWN</b> 5	<b>STRUCTURE</b>	,		<b>DOLLARS II</b>	N		UPDATE TO C	MB No. 0704-0188	
aspect of this collection	den for this collection of infor n of information, including sug son shall be subject to any per	ggestions for reducing	ing the burden, to De	Department of Defe	efense, Washington H	Headquarters Service	vices, Directorate fo	or Information Oper	erations and Reports	s (0704-0188), 1215	Jefferson Davis H	lighway, Suite 1204, Arlington,	n, VA 22202-4302. Respon	ndents should be aw	ware that notwithstanding ar	ny other
1. CONTRACTOR				2. CONTRACT	₽T				3. PROGRAM					4. REPORT PE	ERIOD	
a. NAME			/	a. NAME					a. NAME		_			a. FROM (YY	YYMMDD)	
b. LOCATION (Add	ress and ZIP Code)			b. NUMBER					b. PHASE							
			'				<u> </u>	'						b. TO (YYYY	/MMDD)	
				c. TYPE			d. SHARE RAT	TIO	c. EVMS ACCE	EPTANCE						
									NO	YES	(YYYYMMDD)					
5. CONTRACT DAT	A															
a. QUANTITY	b. NEGOTIATED	c. ESTIMATED	COST OF AUTI	HORIZED	d. TARGET PE	ROFIT/	e. TARGET	f. ESTIMATED	o I	g. CONTRACT	Г	h. ESTIMATED CONT	TRACT		i. DATE OF OTB/OTS	i
	COST	UNPRICED W	NORK		FEE	'	PRICE	PRICE		CEILING		CEILING			(YYYYMMDD)	
6. ESTIMATED COS	ST AT COMPLETION		$\overline{}$			<del></del>	$\leftarrow$	7. AUTHORIZ	ED CONTRACTO	OR REPRESENT	ATIVE					$\dashv$
	MANAGE	EMENT ESTIMAT	ιTE ,	CONTRA	ACT BUDGET	VAP	NANCE	a. NAME (Las	st, First, Middle Ini	itial)		b. TITLE				
	AT /	COMPLETION	,	P	BASE		,				1					
		(1)	,		(2)	,	(3)				1					
a. BEST CASE							7	c. SIGNATURE	Æ					d. DATE SIGN	IED	
							/	4								
b. WORST CASE					\	1		١ .						(YYYYMMI	OD)	

- Blocks 1-3 generally represent stable contract data unique to the particular contractor and effort
- Block 4 report dates should be reviewed and the "TO" date validated against the IMS status date.
- Block 5 contract financial data should be validated against the latest contract modification signed by all parties as of the report date (block 4b)
  - Block 5c Authorized Unpriced Work can never be negative (NEW for IPMR)
  - Bock 5i Shall be blank if no OTB or OTS has been implemented as of the report date. Per DFAR 252.232-7002, implementation requires government approval.
- Block 6 Contractor EACs should be sensible (best case should be the lowest estimate worst case should be the highest)
  - Block 6c(2) Contract Budget Base should be equal to Block 5b + Block 5c
  - Plack 6c/3) Variance should be equal to Plack 6c/1) Plack 6c/2)



#### Validating Format 1 (CPR or IPMR)

#### **Performance Information**

		CUI	RRENT PERI	OD			CUMI	JLATIVE TO	DATE		DEI	PROGRAMM	INC	ΓA	COMPLETI	ON
			ACTUAL					ACTUAL				DJUSTMENT				
	BUDGET	ED COST	COST	VARI	ANCE	BUDGET	ED COST	COST	VARI	ANCE	A	DJUJIMENI	J			
ITEM	WORK	WORK	WORK			WORK	WORK	WORK			COST	SCHEDULE		BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	VARIANCE
	SCHEDULED	PERFORMED	PERFORMED	SCHEDULE	COST	SCHEDULED	PERFORMED	PERFORMED	SCHEDULE	COST	VARIANCE	VARIANCE	BUDGET			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12a)	(12b)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
a. WORK BREAKDOWN																
STRUCTURE ELEMENT																

- Block 8
  - Column 8 (BCWP) must always be less than or equal to column 14 (Budgeted)
  - Column 9 (ACWP) should be less than Column 15 (Estimated) unless a cost transfer is pending that justifies the anomaly
  - Column 12a, 12b, and 13 (Reprogramming Adjustments) are only used if an OTB has been implemented
  - Row 8d (UB) and 8f (MR) are prohibited from being negative numbers (new for IPMR)
  - Row 8E should equal the sum of 8a, 8b, 8c, and 8d, UNLESS 8b (cost of money) and 8c (G&A) are treated as non-add and therefore also already included in the 8a totals
- Block 9

TOTAL CONTRACT VARIANCE

 Row a and Row b relate to contract variance adjustments and are only used if an OTB has been implemented

ппристи	riiccu	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı		1	ı
b. cost of Miss data is	allowe	d in t	he sha	ded a	reas c	f the	forma	t.						
c. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE														
d. UNDISTRIBUTED BUDGET														
e. SUB TOTAL (PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT BASELINE)														
f. MANAGEMENT RESERVE														
g. TOTAL														
9. RECONCILIATION TO CONTRACT BUDG	GET BASE													
a MADIANCE AD HISTMENT														$\sim$ 1)



## Validating Format 2 (CPR or IPMR)

• Since Format 2 simply represents a different perspective of the same data in Format 1, entries in 8b-8g should be identical in the two formats.

						M MANAGE								PENDING		
			F	DRMAT 2 -	ORGANI	ZATIONAL (	CATEGORI	ES		DOLLAR	S IN			UPDATE TO	OMB No. (	704-0188
e public reporting burden for this collection of inf timate or any other aspect of this collection of inf are that notwithstanding any other provision of l CCORDANCE WITH CONTRACTUAL REC	armation, includ au, no personsha	lingsuggostions fo Ill bosubject to an	ar roducing the bu	don, to Dopartmo	nt of Dofonzo, V	/arhington Hoadqu	artors Services, [	)iroctarato far In	armation Operati	ions and Roports	(0704-0188), 1215	Jofforzan Davis H	ighway, Suito 121	04, Arlington, VA 2	2202-4302, Raspi	andontrshauldb.
. CONTRACTOR			2. CONTRA	СТ				3. PROGR	AM.					4. REPORT	PERIOD	
. NAME				a. NAME				a. NAME							YYYYMMD	m
b. LOCATION (Address and ZIP Code)			b. NUMBE	R				b. PHASE						b. TO (Y)	(YYMMDD)	
			c. TYPE			d. SHARE	RATIO		CCEPTANCI					<u> </u>	_	
S. PERFORMANCE DATA								NO	YES	(YYYYMW	100)					
. PERFORMANCE DATA		CUF	RENT PER	RIOD			CUMU	LATIVE TO	DATE					I AT	COMPLET	ION
			ACTUAL			1		ACTUAL				PROGRAMN DJUSTMEN				
·	BUDGET	ED COST	COST	YARI.	ANCE	BUDGET	ED COST	COST	VARI	ANCE	AI	DJUSIMEN	15			
ITEM	VORK	<b>VORK</b>	<b>VORK</b>			WORK	<b>VORK</b>	<b>₩ORK</b>			COST	SCHEDULE		BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	VARIANCI
	SCHEDULEI	PERFORME	PERFORME	SCHEDULE	COST	SCHEDULE	PERFORME	PERFORME	SCHEDULE	COST	VARIANCE	VARIANCE	BUDGET	L		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12a)	(12b)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
a. ORGANIZATIONAL CATEGO	RY															
							_									
											1					
											1					
											1			1		
								-			1			1		
														1	l	
														1		
											-			1		
											1			1		
											1			-		
			l			1					1		l		1	
											1					
											1		ļ		ļ	
						1					1		l		l	
						1	L		L		1		l		l	
											1					
b. COST OF MONEY																
ADMINISTRATIVE																1
d. UNDISTRIBUTED BUDGET																
e. SUB TOTAL (PERFORMANCE														1	i	<del>   </del>
MEASUREMENT BASELINE)			I			I	l	l	l	l	1		I	1	I	
F. MANAGEMENT RESERVE														<del>                                     </del>		
urmini nietnit			•					•		•					T.	



# Validating Format 3 (CPR or IPMR)

									120	,	ردد				
. CONTRACT DATA . ORIGINAL NEGOTIATED COST	b. NEGOTIA	ATED	c CHERE	IT NEGOTIA	TED COST	d. ESTIMA	TED COST O	)F	. COMTR	ACT BUDGE	f TOTAL A	LLOCATED	BUDGET	q. DIFFERE	uce
URIGINAL NEGUTIATED COST	CONTRA CHANGE	ACT	(a. + b.		TED COST		RIZED UMPR			(c. + d.)	r. TOTAL 7	(e f.)			
. CONTRACT START DATE		i. CONTRA	CT DEFINIT	ZATION DA	TE	j. PLANNE	D COMPLET	ION DATE	k. CONTRA	ACT COMPL	ETION DATE	I. ESTIMAT	TED COMPLETION	DATE	
(YYYYMMDD)		(YYYYM				(YYYYM			(YYYYM			(YYYYM			
. PERFORMANCE DATA															
	BC¥\$	BC¥S			В	JDGETED C	OST FOR V	VORK SCH	EDULED (B	CVS) (Non-	Cumulative)			UMDIS-	
ПЕМ	CUMULA-	FOR			SIX MONT	H FORCAST				ENT	ER SPECIFII	ED PERIODS		TRIBUTED	TOTAL
II EM	TIVE TO	REPORT	•1	+2	+3	-4	+5	+6						BUDGET	BUDGET
	DATE	PERIOD	L		L		L	L	L	L	L	L			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(i)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT BASELINE															_
Beginning of Period)															
					1	14	2	<b>^</b>							
c. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT BASELINE (End of Period)															
. MANAGEMENT RESERVE															
. TOTAL															

- Blocks 5c,d,e, and f (CPR header data) should consistent with Format 1 blocks 5b, 5c, 6c(2), and 8g respectively
- Bocks 5h-5l should be validated against the IMS and/or contract
  - Block 5j should be consistent with the baseline completion date in the IMS and cost systems
  - Block 5k should reflect the date of completion agreed upon in the contract
  - Block 5I represents the latest forecast completion according to the IMS
- Block 6, row "a" should tie to row "c" from the prior month's submittal. Format 3 differs between the IPMR and CPR in block 6, row "b" with regard to the level of detail. CPR block 6b time-phasing had been grayed-out; however, the IPMR requires time-phased change details for each of the most significant transactions and a single "other" line item for the remaining transactions. Navy CEVM recommends that "other" be used for no more than 30% of the total
- Block 6a.b.c. and 7 should all total to Block 8 in column 16



# Validating Format 4 (CPR or IPMR)

		-		INTEGRA	TEN DOO		ICATION (V							PENDING	
				INTLONA		IAT 4 - ST		I NEFONI							OMB No. 0704-01
lic ropartina burda	n for this collection of in	formation is actin	atod to avorago	Shours per response				rchina oxistina da	taxpurcar, aathar	ina and maintain	ina the data neede	d. and completing	a and reviewina		mation. Send comments
ng thir burdon artir	nato or any othor arpocl	t of this collection	of information, ir	cludingsuggestion	r for roducing th	o burdon, ta Dop	artmont of Doforu	e, Warhington He-	dquarters Servic	os, Directorato f	ar Information Opa	rations and Ropo	rtr (0704-0188)	), 1215 Joffor <mark>zan</mark> Davi	Highway, Suito 1204, Arli
2-4302. Rospando	ntrshould be aware that COMPLETED FORM:	t natuith/tanding	any ather praviri	on of law, no person.	shall bosubject t	ta any ponalty fa	ır failing to comply	uith a collection o	finformation if it	daas nat display	a currently valid 0	MB control numb	or. PLEASE	DO MOT RETURM	OUR FORM TO THIS
NTRACTOR	CONFLETED FORM	S IN MCCORD	INCE WITH CO	2. CONTRA		13.			3. PROGRA					4. REPORT	DEDIOD
ME				a. NAME	C I				a. NAME	\m					
ME				a. NAME					a. NAME					a. FRUM (1	YYYMMDD)
CATION (A.	dress and ZIP Co	4.5		b. NUMBER					Ь. PHASE					_	
ICATION (AU	uless and zir Co	ruej		D. NOMBER	•				D. FIIASE					ь. то (үү	/VIIIIDD)
				c. TYPE			d. SHARE	DATIO	c. EVMS A	CCEPTANC	-			-10 (11	· · mmbbj
				c. TIPE			a. SHARE	KATIO	C. EYMS A	YES	C (YYYYMMI	nn)			
DEODMANC	E DATA (All figure	oc in whole numb	ora)						no no	ILS	(	50)			
Опшань	C DATA (OILLIGATE	whole humb	ACTUAL					FORFO	ST (Non-Cu						
ORGANIZA	TIONAL	ACTUAL	END OF	61~	MONTHEO	DEC 4 OT D	Y MONTH (En			-414(176)	FUTER	SPECIFIED I	PEDIODO		AT
CATEG		CURRENT	CURRENT	-1 SIX	+2	+3	+4	+5			- EMILER	OF EGITIED I	LUIODS		COMPLETION
CALE	ion I	PERIOD	PERIOD (Cumulative)	<b>⊢ '</b> '	•2	*3	·•	"	+6					1	COMPLETION
(1	1	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	m	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
		(2)	(9)	<del>  "  </del>	(a)	(6)	<del>  "</del>	1 (0)	(9)	(10)	<del>  '''</del>	(12)	(19)	<del>  ''*/  </del>	(13)
		1						1							
		1						1						1	
														1	
														1	
		1	_					1			l			1	
		1		1				1			l			1	
		1						1			l			1	
		1						1			l			1	
		1						1			l			1	
		l						l			l			+ +	
		1		1				1			l			1	
		1					1	1						1	
							<i>y , , </i>								
							V 1								
		1									l			1	
		1									l			1	
		1						<u> </u>			l			1	
		-	-			-	-	-		-	- '	-	-	•	
•	<b>Format</b>	4 m	av he	nrese	enter	l in h	Ours	equiv	/alent	mor	nth o	r tota	ıl hea	adcour	ıt ——
							cuis,	Cquit	aicile		1211, 0			adcour	
	as defi	ned ii	n the	CDRI											
•	<b>Format</b>	· 4 nr	sent	s fore	cast	data	and v	will no	nt rec	oncil	e with	า forn	nats	1 2	
													دعما	-,-,	
	and 3,	which	nreg	sent h	aseli	ne a	nd ne	rform	ance	data					
	unu 5,		. pic.	JULIE D	JUCII	. ic u	a pc		31.00	aaca					
			1				1							1 1	
	CT	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>		_	+		$\vdash$				_	+ +	
OTAL DIDE															
OTAL DIRE		AIA MAR	l DE DENEN	IC APPOIT	A.I								1.2	DOMEDECO	HOTION AUTUOR
	DD FORM 273	4/4, MAR (	D5, PENDII	NG APPOVA	AL.								LO	DCAL REPROD	UCTION AUTHOR



## Validating Format 5 (CPR or IPMR)

- Format 5 has no specific format requirement; however, the content and order are prescribed
- The CPR and IPMR reports now differ in content, and the IPMR also has a specific reporting order. See below.
- The largest material differences between the two version of format 5 are:
  - IMS discussion is integrated into the IPMR and should cover critical/driving paths, Baseline Schedule Variance, Schedule Margin, Changes to Task Activity Codes or the Data Dictionary, and Schedule Health Analysis
  - While the CPR required contractors to address all variances in breach of threshold, the IPMR institutes a limit of 15 WBSs with reportable variances (may be less than 15 only if less than 15 accounts breached threshold).
    - The top three variances by type should be addressed (current cost, current schedule, cum

cost, cum schedule, VAC)

#### CPR Formaty 5 Reporting Contentrultiple variance thresholds Mik at office string Contentre & 5

- Contracted Wired working to Street Lie, and EAC variance analyses
- MR changes and usage 2)
- 3) **UB** contents
- 4) Differences between the best case, worst case, and most likely management EAC, if any
- 5) The difference between the most likely management EAC and the estimate in Block 8.e of Column (15), if any
- Significant differences between beginning of period PMB time phasing and end of period PMB time phasing in Format 3
- 7) Performance measurement milestones that are inconsistent with contractual milestones (Over Target Schedule)
- Formal reprogramming (over target baseline) implementation details

#### Order

- **Contract Summary** 1)
- 2) Formal Reprogramming Analysis
- 3) **EAC Analysis**
- 4) **UB** Analysis
- 4) MR Analysis
- 5) **IMS Discussion**
- 6) Format 3 Discussion
- 7) Format 4 Discussion
- 8) Cost and Schedule Variance Analysis
- 10) Supplemental Discussions





# Validating Format 6 (IMS or IPMR)

Since Format 6 is a working schedule and is quite different from the other report-like formats, the nature of Format 6 validation is also quite different. Format 6 validation should focus on validating the general quality and readiness of the schedule to ensure it's ready for an evaluation of performance and schedule logic

- Ensure the IMS status date is consistent with the end date of the current reporting period and is therefore aligned with the EV data.
- Ensure project start and completion dates are correct and consistent with the contract
- Validate that critical path calculations were performed in the scheduling software prior to submission
- Depending on the software, ensure that time analysis has been run, or that the schedule is in a "calculated" state, so that forecast dates are accurate as of time-now
- Perform a cursory examination of the network schedule
- Compare tasks and baseline to the previous months submittal
- Ensure all actual start and actual finish dates fall prior to the status date
- Ensure all tasks without an actual start have an early start beyond the status date
- Ensure all tasks without an actual finish have an early finish beyond the status date
- Check for logic on summary tasks
- Perform a periodic schedule health assessment (various versions exist)





## Validating Format 7 (IPMR only)

Format 7 requires the electronic submittal (xml format) of time-phased data at the WBS level for baseline, performance, actual cost, and forecast. Because this data covers the entire contract period of performance, it can be used to validate any of the performance data presented in Formats 1-3. Each month the program office should:

- Use Format 7 data to validate bottom line data
  - Format 1 performance data subtotals (Row 8e) and estimated columns (Column 15)
  - Format 2 performance data subtotals (Row 5e) and estimated columns (Column 15)
  - Format 3 (Row 6c) baseline data totals by period
- Spot check WBS level numbers to make sure that lower levels are also presented accurately





#### **Point of Contact**

Navy Center for Earned Value Management

(703) 695-0510

http://acquisition.navy.mil/acquisition\_one\_source/ cevm

